

Appendix. 1: Evaluating Study and Scientific Activities to Set Doctoral Stipend Levels

Study Activity	Evaluation Point Numbers
Successful completion of Examinations in a subject in the ISP	10
Successful completion of the State PhD Examination	30
Submission of their Dissertation, in the Czech language	30
Submission of their Dissertation, in the English language	50

Research/Creative Activity ¹⁾	Evaluation Point Numbers
--	--------------------------

		D1	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Jimp	An article in an “Impacted” magazine; (registered in the Web of Science - <i>WoS</i> databases)	160	120	80	40	30
Jsc	An article, reviewed in a magazine/journal; (registered in the world-renowned <i>SCOPUS</i> databases)	120	90	60	30	20
B	Professional Book; (Chapter in the book) – in a world-class language ⁴⁾	15/AA				
	Professional Book; (Chapter in the book) – in other languages	8/AA				
D	An article in a Conference Proceedings registered in the WoS and SCOPUS databases	10 ⁵⁾				
P Patent ⁶⁾	“European“ patent (EPO); USA and Japan patent (USPTO)	200				
	Czech or national patents, which are used on the basis of valid licencing contract agreements	100				
	Other	25				
Applied results linked to the dissertation topic: (semi-operation, proven technology, prototype, functional sample, software, utility model, industrial design, certified methodology)		2 - 30 ⁷⁾				

		1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year
Jneimp ⁸⁾	An article in a peer-reviewed magazine, registered in the list of Czech Periodical Review	8	5	2	2
	An article in a scientific or professional magazine – world-class language ⁴⁾	8	5	2	2
	An article in a scientific or professional magazine – other languages	3	2	0	0
Dnehodn ⁸⁾	An article in the Proceedings of an International Conference not registered in the WoS and/or SCOPUS databases – world-class languages ⁴⁾	5	3	2	0
	An article in a conference proceedings or conference proceedings – Other languages	2	2	0	0

Grant activity and supplementary activity	Evaluation Point Numbers
Head Researcher or Co-researcher of an internal - FAI (IGA) grant, with a Final Report	(-5) – 10 ⁹⁾
Researcher responsible for an international project	40
Active participation in the solution (co-solver) of an international project	5 – 20 ¹⁰⁾
Responsible Project Coordinator of a GAČR, TAČR or Departmental project	30
Active participation in the solution (co-resolver) of a GAČR, TAČR or Departmental project	5 – 15 ¹⁰⁾
Researcher responsible for a TBU in Zlín development project	5
Active participation in the resolution (co-researcher) of a TBU development project	3
A share in the resolution of complementary activities in connection with their dissertation thesis, with the use of knowledge acquired in their PhD thesis field.	5 – 15 ¹⁰⁾

Mobility activity	Evaluation Point Numbers
Mobility – Study-stays or work placements at a university abroad whose professional content is on the dissertation topic (with a length of not less than 30 days)	10 / month ¹¹⁾
Mobility – Study-stays or work placements at a university abroad whose professional content is on the dissertation topic (with a length less than 28 days)	1 / week

Pedagogical activity	Evaluation Point Numbers
The design of an experiment, experimental workplace, or laboratory workplace	5
Tutorial Aids (tuition materials, teaching scripts, educational programmes, laboratory tasks)	2 – 5 ¹²⁾
Assuring tuition in the tutorial workplace in the field of the dissertation, within the context of unpaid pedagogical working practice (max. 10 evaluation points/academic year)	1 / 1 hour per week and semest.; 2 / 1 hour per week and semest. (taught in English)

Notes:

The control and eventual correction of the number of evaluation points in the overview submitted by the student is performed by the Vice Dean for Research and Development Activities and the Doctoral Study.

¹⁾ The appropriate number of evaluation points corresponding to each author's mental contribution on the origin of the evaluated activity is calculated. The condition pertaining to the acceptance of the calculated value is their affiliation to TBU in Zlín, as registered in the OBD database and a submitted copy of the said publication. The publication must be registered in the OBD database – as well as other creative activities is no later than 1 month from its publication, or – as the case may be, completion of a creative activity.

- 2) The number of evaluation points is ascertained according to depending on the location of the journal (quartile or 1st division of the journal - D1, Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) in a given branch category (WOS category) according to the impact factor (IF), which is determined according to the Journal Citation Reports. The IF value valid on the current date of application of the result is used. If the journal is included in more than one WOS category, then the field in which the journal achieved the best position and at the same time belongs to the so-called strategically important fields of the FAI (SVO) is selected for evaluation. SVO are defined in the Annex to the Dean's Directive – "Evaluation and management of the development of pedagogical, creative, managerial and other activities of academic and scientific staff of the FAI". If the journal is included in fields that are not on the SVO list, then the field in which the journal reached the best position is selected for evaluation (i.e. does not approach the SVO list). If the journal has not calculated IF, it is included in the Q4 quartile.
- 3) The number of evaluation points is obtained depending on the location of the journal (quartile or 1st division of the journal - D1, Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4) in the field (subject area) according to the SJR index, which is determined by the SCImago Journal & Country Rank (<http://www.scimagojr.com/journalrank.php>). The SJR index value valid on the current date of application of the result is used. If the journal is included in more than one subject category, then the field in which the journal reached the best position and at the same time belongs to the so-called strategically important FAI (SVO) fields is selected for evaluation. SVOs are defined in the appendix to the Dean's Directive - "Evaluation and management of the development of pedagogical, creative, managerial and other activities of FAI academic and scientific staff". If the journal is included in fields that are not on the SVO list, then the field in which the journal reached the best position is selected for evaluation (i.e. does not approach the SVO list). If the SJR value of the journal index is not quantified, it is included in the Q4 quartile.
- 4) World-class languages are understood to mean English, German, French, Spanish and Russian.
- 5) The number of evaluation points recognised as research/creative activities in Item **D** in one academic year must not be higher by more than 20 evaluation points gained for creative activities in the **Jimp** and **Jsc** items above.
- 6) The holder of rights subject to industrial ownership rights is TBU in Zlín; not the originator of the subject of such ownership of the industrial rights.
- 7) The number of valuation points in the given range is suggested by the Vice Dean for Research and Development Activities and the Doctoral Study - and approved by the Dean, FAI. The condition governing its recognition is the usability of the applied results for Research and Development, or as the case may be, innovation.
- 8) The maximum number of evaluation points acquired for their creative activities in the **Jneimp** and **Dnehodn** Items above, in one academic year is equivalent to the doubled values of one publication activity (it does not resolve whether the student records 10 publications with minor mental shares, or 2 publications with 100-percent shares. Mental shares are already reflected in the number of acquired evaluation points).
- 9) The number of evaluation points is proportional to the evaluation of the project; (the so-called evaluation "A"=10 evaluation points; "B"=8 evaluation points; "C"=6 evaluation points; "D"=4 evaluation points; "E"=2 evaluation points; the evaluation "F", means a penalisation of 5 evaluation points).
- 10) The evaluation points – within the given range, is suggested by the person responsible and approved by the Dean, FAI, in conjunction with the Vice Dean for Research and Development Activities and the Doctoral Study.
- 11) The maximum number of evaluation points possible to acquire within the framework of the overview for one academic year is 30. The number of credits acquired at a university abroad, is not decisive.
- 12) The number of evaluation points, within the given range, is suggested by the Guarantor of the subject or discipline, responsible and approved by the Dean, FAI, in conjunction with the Vice Dean for Research and Development Activities and the Doctoral Study. Tuition materials - including software that can be implemented in the learning process, will be deposited in the FAI database of study materials.